

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/526,262	03/16/2000	Eric C Anderson	1588P/P194	1500	
29141	7590 04/22/2005		EXAM	INER	
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP			DASTOURI, MEHRDAD		
P O BOX 514	· = •		ARTIBUT	DADED MED COCO	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2623		
			DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/526,262	ANDERSON ET AL.6			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mehrdad Dastouri	2623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	January 2005.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in App riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2623

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 10, 2005 has been entered.

## Response to Amendment

2. Applicants' amendment filed January 10, 2005, has been entered and made of record.

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argue in essence that Haneda (Prior art of record) does not disclose a method or system in which information that changes defaults for the album is received from the user separately from the user setting the default.

The Examiner disagrees and indicates that, for this particular limitation, claim language in Step (c1) of Claim1, and corresponding limitations in other independent claims are vague and indefinite. The limitation reciting, "the tag for altering the at least one default" lacks antecedent basis. The only recitation of "tag" in Step (c1) in Claim 1 recites "receiving a tag stored in at least one image file for a first portion of the plurality

Art Unit: 2623

of images" which indicates the tag is not received separately. Claim limitation in Step (c1) further recites, "wherein the tag received in the image file in step (c1) is received in a separate communication", (Please note, it is not recited the tag for altering the at least one default).

Consequently, due to the ambiguity of the claim language, under this condition,

Applicants are respectfully referred to the response in previous Office Action.

### Claim Objection

4. Claim 1 and their dependent claims are objected for the following informalities: In Line 11 of Claim 1, "at the least' should be corrected to "the at least".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1, 7 and 20 recite the limitation "the tag for altering the at least one default" in Line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2623

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

8. Claims 1, 5-20 and 24-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haneda (U.S. 6,459,511).

Regarding Claim 1, Haneda discloses a method for providing a user with an album including a plurality of pages, the method comprising the steps of:

- (a) allowing a user to purchase at least a portion of the album, the portion of the album including a portion of the plurality of pages (Figures 19-23; Column 22, Lines 63-67, Column 23, Lines 1-29);
- (b) allowing the user to set a plurality of defaults for the plurality of pages, at least one default of the plurality of defaults capable of being altered using information provided by the user (Figures 18 and 23, number of copies or size of the photographs; Column 22, Lines 49-56; Column 23, Lines 19-23; Figure 30; Column 28, Lines 5-45);
- (c) receiving from the user the information, if any, and a plurality of images captured using a digital imaging device, wherein the information receiving device further includes the steps of:
- (c1) receiving a tag stored in at least one image file for a first portion of the plurality of images, the tag for altering the at least one default of the plurality of defaults for the first portion of the plurality of images (As best understood by the Examiner, Figures 10 and 11; Column 19, Lines 26-67, Column 20, Lines 1-19; Figure 24, Tags IX1 and IX2, Figures 25-27 and 30; Column 23, Lines 32-67, Column 24, Column 25,

Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

Lines 1-28; Column 28, Lines 5-67, Column 29 through Column 32, Line 33. The user's disk illustrated in Figure 30 is the digital album provided to the user that allows the user to manipulate the defaults by changing the Tags IX1 and IX2 or hypertexts 1-26.); wherein the tag received in the image file in step (c1) is received in a communication that is separate from the user setting the plurality of defaults in step (b) and that initially provides the first portion of the plurality of images (Column 17, Lines 43-67; Column 18, Lines 32-67, Column 19, Lines 1-6; Figures 18 and 23, number of copies or size of the photographs; Column 22, Lines 49-56; Column 23, Lines 19-23; Figure 30; Column 28, Lines 5-45).

- (d) altering the at least one default if the user has provided the information (Figure 11; Column 20, Lines 37-59; Figure 25, Column 24, Lines 19-39);
- (e) providing the portion of the plurality of pages using the plurality of defaults (Figures 30, 31, 34-38); and
- (f) allowing the user access to the at least the portion of the album (Figure 2; Column 18, Column 19, Lines 1-16).

Regarding Claim 5, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein the album is a printed photo album and wherein the access allowing step (f) further includes the step of:

(fl) providing the portion of the plurality of pages to the user (Figures 19-23; Column 22, Lines 63-67, Column 23, Lines 1-31).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 6, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 5 wherein a second portion of the plurality of images include sound and wherein the step of providing the portion of the plurality of pages further includes the steps of:

(e1) printing the portion of the plurality of pages (Figures 18 and 23; Column 22, Lines 49-62); and

(e2) providing a mechanism for a user to hear the sound for the second portion of the plurality of images (Figure 33; Column 34, Lines 30-44; Figures 34, 35, 48, 51, 53, 55; Column 42, Lines 4-11; Column 43, Lines 38-51; Column 46, Lines 1-25).

Regarding Claim 7, arguments analogous to those presented for Claims 1 and 6 are applicable to Claim 7. Haneda further discloses the mechanism includes a printed stripe playable by a scanning device and wherein the providing step (e I) further includes the step of:

(e1i) providing the scanning device to the user (Figure 27, Film Scanner 12A).

Regarding Claim 8, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 6 wherein the mechanism providing step (e2) further includes the step of:

(e2i) providing a user playable device within a second portion of the portion of the plurality of pages, the second portion of the plurality of pages including the portion of the plurality of images including sound (Figures 34-37; Column 35, Lines 56-67, Column 36, Column 37, Lines 1-19).

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 9, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein each image of a portion of the plurality of images includes a caption and wherein the providing step (e) further includes the step of:

(e1) providing the caption for each of the portion of the plurality of images (Figures 43-46; Column 40, Lines 46-67, Column 41, Lines 1-15).

Regarding Claim 10, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 9 wherein the caption for each of the portion of the plurality of images is a user-recorded caption and wherein caption providing step (e1) further includes the step of:

(e1i) reading the user-recorded caption for each of the portion of the plurality of images (Figures 43-46; Column 40, Lines 46-67, Column 41, Lines 1-15);

(e1ii) converting the user-recorded caption for each of the portion of the plurality of images to a text caption (Figures 43-46; Column 40, Lines 46-67, Column 41, Lines 1-15); and

(e1iii) printing the text caption for each of the portion of the plurality of images (Figures 47-50; Column 44, Lines 13-47).

Regarding Claim 11, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein the at least one default includes a page layout (Figures 31, 45, 46 and 49).

Regarding Claim 12, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein the at least one default includes a page style (Figures 31, 45, 46 and 49).

Regarding Claim 13, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein each image of a portion of the plurality of images includes a category and wherein the at

Art Unit: 2623

least one default includes a photo album organization based on the category of each image of the portion of the plurality of image (Figures 31, 34-38; Column 35, Lines 43-67, Column 36, Lines 1-29).

Regarding Claim 14, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein the at least one default includes selecting image analysis for the plurality of images (Figure 38, Compression Scheme and Image Resolution).

Regarding Claim 15, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein the purchase step (a) further includes the step of:

(a1) allowing the user to purchase the at least the plurality of pages from a remote location (Column 31, Lines 24-39; Column 32, Lines 28-33).

Regarding Claim 16, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein the at least one default selecting step (b) further includes the step of:

(b2) allowing the user to select the at least one default from a remote location (Column 22, Lines 49-56; Column 23, Lines 19-23; Figure 30; Column 28, Lines 5-45).

Regarding Claim 17, Haneda further discloses the method of Claim 1 wherein a portion of the plurality of images include sound and wherein the step of providing the portion of the plurality of pages (e) further includes the steps of:

- (e1) archiving the portion of the plurality of pages (Figures 43-50); and
- (e2) providing a mechanism for a user to hear the sound for the portion of the plurality of images (Abstract; Figure 51; Column 9, Lines1-6; Column 44, Lines 40-60).

Art Unit: 2623

With regards to Claim 18, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 9 are applicable to Claim 18.

With regards to Claim 19, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 10 are applicable to Claim 19.

With regards to Claims 20 and 38, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 1 are applicable to Claims 20 and 38.

With regards to Claims 24 and 25, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 6 are applicable to Claims 24 and 25.

With regards to Claim 26, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 7 are applicable to Claim 26.

With regards to Claim 27, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 9 are applicable to Claim 27.

With regards to Claim 28, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 10 are applicable to Claim 28.

With regards to Claim 29, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 11 are applicable to Claim 29.

With regards to Claim 30, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 12 are applicable to Claim 30.

With regards to Claim 31, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 13 are applicable to Claim 31.

Art Unit: 2623

With regards to Claims 32 and 33, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 14 are applicable to Claims 32 and 33.

With regards to Claims 34 and 35, arguments analogous to those presented for Claims 15-17 are applicable to Claims 34 and 35.

With regards to Claim 36, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 18 are applicable to Claim 36.

With regards to Claim 37, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 19 are applicable to Claim 37.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2, 3, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haneda (U.S. 6,459,511) in view of Kaplan (U.S. 5,963,916).

Regarding Claim 2, Haneda does not explicitly disclose the method of Claim 1 wherein the purchasing step (a) can occur prior to receiving the plurality of images from the user.

Kaplan discloses a system for on-line user-interface multimedia based preview wherein purchasing can occur prior to receiving a plurality of images from the user (Figure 34; Column 15, Lines 40-67).

Art Unit: 2623

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Haneda's invention according to the teachings of Kaplan to perform purchasing step (a) prior to receiving the plurality of images from the user because it will expand versatility of ordering images from a desired album utilizing online ordering based on prior subscription.

Regarding Claim 3, Haneda does not explicitly disclose the method of Claim 1 wherein the purchasing step (a) can occur prior to the plurality of images being captured.

Kaplan discloses a system for on-line user-interface multimedia based preview wherein purchasing can occur prior to the plurality of images being captured (Figure 34; Column 15, Lines 40-67).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Haneda's invention according to the teachings of Kaplan to perform purchasing step (a) prior to the plurality of images being captured because it will expand versatility of ordering images from a desired album utilizing on-line ordering based on prior subscription.

With regards to Claim 21, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 2 are applicable to Claim 21.

With regards to Claim 22, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 3 are applicable to Claim 22.

Art Unit: 2623

11. Claims 4 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haneda (U.S. 6,459,511) in view of Morag (U.S. 6,324,545).

Regarding Claim 4, Haneda does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 1 wherein the receiving step (a) further includes the step of:

(c1) receiving from the user the information, if any, and the plurality of images directly from the digital imaging device.

Morag discloses a method of generating an album wherein a plurality of images directly from the digital imaging device (Figure 1; Column 5, Lines 46-59).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Haneda's invention according to the teachings of Morag to receive from the user the information, if any, and the plurality of images directly from the digital imaging device because it will expedite image processing for generating a personalized album.

With regards to Claim 23, arguments analogous to those presented for Claim 4 are applicable to Claim 23.

#### **Contact Information**

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mehrdad Dastouri whose telephone number is (571) 272-7418. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 09/526,262 Page 13

Art Unit: 2623

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au can be reached on (571) 272-7414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEHRDAD DASTOURI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Mehrdad Dastouri Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2623 April 16, 2005

Mehrdaa